

REMARKS

Claims 1, 4, 6, 10-12, 15-18, 20, 25, and 28 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 6, 11, 15, 16, 17, and 28 are amended. Reconsideration and allowance of the claims is respectively requested.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The Office action rejects claims 1, 4, 6, 10-12, 15-18, 20, and 25-28 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ollikainen (US 2003/0074475A1) in view of Ndili (US 2002/0161928A1) and Tracy et al. (US 5,979,757).

Independent claims 1, 6, 11, 15, 16, 17, and 28

Claims 1, 6, 11, 15, 16, 17, and 28 recite that the navigation aid is configured to graphically indicate whether other viewable segments adjacent to said first viewable segment in the mark-up language file are above, below, right, or left of said first viewable segment. For example, as recited on page 11 at lines 26-27 of the application, left and/or right navigation aids may be utilized to indicate that width of a web page shown on a display extends beyond the left or right boundaries of the display. Assignee respectfully submits that none of the cited references teach or suggest this feature.

The Office Action indicates that Ollikainen does not teach a navigation aid. Rather, the Office Action alleges that Ndili and Tracy disclose a navigation aid. Ndili teaches "[t]he next segment may be indicated with a user-interactive feature (icon) to show **the availability of** a next segment." (See paragraph 84.) Assignee submits that an icon that indicates the availability of a next segment is different than an icon that indicates the relative location of other viewable segments. The Assignee submits that the user-interactive feature of Ndili provides no indication to a user as to whether the next segment corresponds to a segment that is adjacent (e.g., left, or right) of a currently displayed segment. Therefore, Assignee submits that claims 1, 6, 11, 15, 16, 17, and 28 are neither anticipated by nor obvious in view of Ndili.

Tracy suffers from the same defect. Tracy teaches:

“Accordingly, when a ‘fat content’ value is displayed on the display, the ‘fat content’ indicator is underlined and highlighted to indicate that the selection of the ‘link’ will retrieve additional information. If the link is activated by navigation keys . . . the portable terminal will retrieve additional data through the controller.”

(See column 10, lines 15-22.) Assignee submits that a link to additional information (other segments) is different than a link that graphically indicates a relative location of the other segments. Therefore, Assignee submits that claims 1, 6, 11, 15, 16, 17, and 28 are neither anticipated by nor obvious in view of Tracy. Accordingly, Assignee respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C 103(a) against claims 1, 6, 11, 15, 16, 17, and 28 and those claims that depend from claims 1, 6, 11, 15, 16, 17, and 28, respectively.

Conclusion

In view of the above remarks, Assignee respectfully submits that this Application is in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly requested. If for any reason the Application is not allowable, the Examiner is requested to contact the Assignee's undersigned attorney at the phone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Edward Machado/

Edward Machado
Registration No. 58,372
Attorney for Applicants

January 14, 2010
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. BOX 10395
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610
(312) 321-4200